

FIGHTING MONEY LAUNDERING WITH STATISTICS AND MACHINE LEARNING

Y.Srinivasa Raju, Associate professor, Department of MCA srinivasaraju.y@gmail.com B V Raju College, Bhimavaram Kollipara Pavan Kalyan (2285351056) Department of MCA pavankollipara31@gmail.com B V Raju College, Bhimavaram

ABSTRACT

Money laundering is a profound global problem. Nonetheless, there is little scientific literature on statistical and machine learning methods for anti-money laundering. In this paper, we focus on anti-money laundering in banks and provide an introduction and review of the literature. We propose a unifying terminology with two central elements: (i) client risk profiling and (ii) suspicious behavior flagging. We find that client risk profiling is characterized by diagnostics, i.e., efforts to find and explain risk factors. On the other hand, suspicious behavior flagging is characterized by non-disclosed features and hand-crafted risk indices. Finally, we discuss directions for future research. One major challenge is the need for more public data sets. This may potentially be addressed by synthetic data generation. Other possible research directions include semi-supervised and deep learning, interpretability, and fairness of the results.

Keywords: Money laundering, Anti-money laundering, Banks, Client risk profiling, Suspicious behavior flagging, Machine learning, Synthetic data generation

INTRODUCTION

Money laundering poses a significant challenge to financial institutions and law enforcement agencies worldwide, representing a complex and pervasive threat to the integrity of the global financial system [1]. Despite its profound impact, the scientific literature on statistical and machine learning methods for anti-money laundering remains relatively scarce, leaving a gap in our understanding of effective strategies to combat this illicit activity [2]. In this paper, we aim to address this gap by focusing on anti-money laundering within the banking sector, offering an introductory overview and critical review of existing literature in this domain [3]. The fight against money laundering hinges on the ability of financial institutions to identify and mitigate the risks associated with illicit financial transactions [4]. Central to this endeavor are two key components: client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging [5]. Client risk profiling involves the systematic assessment of individual customers to evaluate their likelihood of engaging in money laundering activities [6]. This process typically relies on diagnostic measures aimed at identifying and explaining the underlying risk factors associated with each client [7]. Conversely, suspicious behavior flagging entails the detection of potentially illicit activities through the monitoring and analysis of transactional data [8]. Unlike client risk profiling, suspicious behavior flagging often involves the use of non-disclosed features and hand-crafted risk indices to identify anomalous patterns indicative of money laundering [9].

In examining the existing literature on anti-money laundering methods in the banking sector, we uncover several key insights and challenges [10]. While client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging represent fundamental approaches to combating money laundering, their effectiveness is contingent upon access to comprehensive and high-quality data [11]. One of the major obstacles faced by researchers and practitioners in this field is the limited availability of public datasets suitable for training and evaluating machine learning models [12]. To address this challenge, we propose the exploration of synthetic data generation techniques as a potential solution to augmenting the existing pool of training data [13]. Additionally, we identify promising research directions in the realms of semi-supervised and deep learning methods, which offer the potential for enhanced detection capabilities and improved

ISSN 2454 - 5015

accuracy in identifying suspicious transactions [14]. Furthermore, we emphasize the importance of interpretability and fairness in machine learning models deployed for anti-money laundering purposes, highlighting the need for transparent and unbiased approaches to decision-making in this critical domain [15].



Fig 1. System Architecture

LITERATURE SURVEY

Money laundering, a pervasive and multifaceted global issue, has garnered increasing attention from policymakers, financial institutions, and law enforcement agencies in recent years. Despite its significance, the scientific literature on statistical and machine learning methods specifically tailored for anti-money laundering efforts remains relatively sparse. Our focus in this paper is on the application of such techniques within the banking sector, where the detection and prevention of money laundering activities are paramount. Through a comprehensive literature review, we aim to provide insights into existing methodologies, identify gaps in current research, and propose avenues for future investigation. Anti-money laundering strategies in banks often revolve around two central pillars: client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging. Client risk profiling involves the assessment of individual customers to evaluate their propensity for engaging in illicit financial activities. This process typically entails diagnostic analyses aimed at identifying and elucidating the underlying risk factors associated with each client. In contrast, suspicious behavior flagging focuses on the detection of potentially illicit transactions through the monitoring and analysis of transactional data. This approach often relies on the identification of anomalous patterns and behaviors indicative of money laundering, utilizing non-disclosed features and hand-crafted risk indices to flag suspicious activities.

The literature survey reveals a dearth of comprehensive studies and standardized methodologies for combating money laundering using statistical and machine learning approaches in the banking sector. While some research efforts have explored specific aspects of anti-money laundering, such as transaction monitoring or risk assessment, there remains a lack of cohesive frameworks and unified terminology in this domain. Additionally, existing studies often suffer from

limitations such as small sample sizes, limited availability of real-world data, and a lack of transparency in model development and evaluation. One of the primary challenges identified in the literature is the scarcity of publicly available datasets suitable for training and validating machine learning models for anti-money laundering purposes. This shortage of data impedes the development and testing of robust algorithms and hinders progress in this field. To address this challenge, researchers have proposed various approaches, including synthetic data generation techniques. Synthetic data generation holds promise as a means of augmenting existing datasets and enabling researchers to train machine learning models on more diverse and representative samples of transactional data.

Moreover, the literature highlights several promising avenues for future research in the domain of anti-money laundering. These include the exploration of semi-supervised and deep learning methods, which offer the potential for enhanced detection capabilities and improved accuracy in identifying suspicious transactions. Furthermore, there is a growing emphasis on the interpretability and fairness of machine learning models deployed for anti-money laundering purposes. Ensuring transparency and equity in decision-making processes is essential to building trust and confidence in the effectiveness of these systems. Overall, the literature survey underscores the urgent need for further research and collaboration in the development of statistical and machine learning techniques for fighting money laundering in the banking sector.

PROPOSED SYSTEM

Money laundering poses a significant challenge to global financial systems, necessitating effective strategies and tools for detection and prevention. Despite its pervasive nature, there exists a notable gap in the scientific literature concerning the application of statistical and machine learning methods to combat money laundering effectively. This paper addresses this gap by focusing on anti-money laundering (AML) efforts within the banking sector and proposing a comprehensive framework leveraging statistics and machine learning techniques. Central to our proposed system are two key components: client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging, which serve as foundational elements in the fight against money laundering. Client risk profiling forms the cornerstone of AML initiatives, aiming to assess and mitigate the inherent risks associated with individual customers within banking institutions. This process involves the systematic analysis of various factors to determine the likelihood of a customer engaging in illicit financial activities such as money laundering. Through diagnostic evaluations, efforts are made to identify and understand the underlying risk factors influencing each client's behavior. Statistical methods play a crucial role in this phase, enabling banks to quantify and model risk factors based on historical transactional data, customer demographics, and other relevant variables. By profiling clients according to their risk levels, financial institutions can implement targeted measures to monitor and mitigate potential money laundering risks proactively.

In parallel with client risk profiling, suspicious behavior flagging constitutes another essential aspect of AML efforts, focusing on the detection and identification of potentially illicit activities within banking transactions. Unlike client risk profiling, which relies on known risk factors and historical data, suspicious behavior flagging often involves the analysis of non-disclosed features and the development of hand-crafted risk indices to identify anomalous patterns indicative of money laundering. Machine learning techniques offer a powerful toolset for automating this process, allowing banks to analyze large volumes of transactional data in real-time and identify suspicious activities more efficiently. By leveraging advanced algorithms, such as anomaly detection and pattern recognition models, banks can enhance their ability to detect and flag suspicious behavior flagging into a unified framework aimed at enhancing the effectiveness and efficiency of AML efforts in banks. By combining statistical analyses with machine learning algorithms, the system provides a comprehensive approach to identifying and mitigating money laundering risks. At its core, the system leverages historical transactional data to build predictive models for client risk assessment, enabling banks to categorize customers based on their likelihood of engaging in illicit financial activities. These risk

profiles serve as inputs to the suspicious behavior flagging module, which utilizes machine learning algorithms to analyze transactional patterns and identify potential instances of money laundering in real-time.

Furthermore, the system addresses key challenges facing AML initiatives, including the scarcity of public datasets suitable for training and evaluating machine learning models. To overcome this limitation, the system proposes the use of synthetic data generation techniques, allowing banks to create representative datasets for model development and validation. Additionally, the system explores emerging research directions, such as semi-supervised and deep learning methods, to enhance the accuracy and robustness of AML systems. Moreover, the system emphasizes the importance of interpretability and fairness in AML algorithms, advocating for transparent and accountable decision-making processes to maintain public trust and confidence in AML efforts. In summary, the proposed system offers a holistic approach to fighting money laundering in the banking sector, leveraging statistics and machine learning techniques to enhance risk assessment and detection capabilities. By integrating client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging into a unified framework, the system enables banks to identify and mitigate money laundering risks more effectively. Through ongoing research and innovation, the system seeks to address existing challenges and pave the way for more robust and resilient AML solutions in the future.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology proposed in this paper aims to address the challenges posed by money laundering through a combination of statistical analysis and machine learning techniques tailored specifically for anti-money laundering (AML) efforts in the banking sector. The methodology encompasses several key steps, each designed to contribute to the overall effectiveness and efficiency of the AML framework. Firstly, the methodology begins with data collection and preprocessing. Given the sensitive nature of financial transactions and the importance of data privacy, acquiring relevant datasets for analysis requires careful consideration of legal and ethical guidelines. Banks must ensure compliance with regulatory requirements and obtain necessary permissions for accessing transactional data. Once the data is obtained, preprocessing steps such as data cleaning, normalization, and feature engineering are performed to prepare the dataset for analysis. This involves identifying and addressing inconsistencies, errors, and missing values within the data to ensure its accuracy and reliability.

Following data preprocessing, the methodology proceeds to client risk profiling, which involves the systematic assessment of individual customers' risk levels based on their transactional behavior and other relevant attributes. Statistical methods are employed to analyze historical transactional data and identify patterns indicative of potential money laundering activities. Descriptive statistics, such as mean transaction amounts, frequency of transactions, and transactional patterns over time, are calculated to characterize each customer's financial behavior. Additionally, predictive models, such as logistic regression or decision trees, may be developed to classify customers into risk categories based on their likelihood of engaging in illicit financial activities. Simultaneously, the methodology focuses on suspicious behavior flagging, which aims to detect anomalous patterns or transactional data in real-time and identify deviations from expected behavior. Anomaly detection algorithms, such as isolation forests or one-class SVMs, are used to flag transactions that fall outside normal patterns. Furthermore, clustering algorithms, such as k-means or DBSCAN, may be utilized to identify groups of transactions with similar characteristics, which may warrant further investigation.

In conjunction with client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging, the methodology emphasizes the importance of interpretability and fairness in AML algorithms. Interpretability ensures that the decision-making process underlying AML models is transparent and understandable, allowing stakeholders to interpret and trust the results. Techniques such as feature importance analysis and model-agnostic explanations, such as SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations), are employed to elucidate the factors driving model predictions. Moreover, fairness considerations are

integrated into the model development process to mitigate potential biases and ensure equitable treatment across different demographic groups. As part of the methodology, ongoing monitoring and evaluation are crucial to assess the performance of the AML framework and identify areas for improvement. Key performance indicators, such as detection rates, false positive rates, and model accuracy, are continuously monitored to gauge the effectiveness of client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging techniques. Feedback loops are established to incorporate new data and adapt the models accordingly, ensuring that the AML framework remains robust and up-to-date in the face of evolving money laundering tactics.

In summary, the methodology outlined in this paper provides a comprehensive approach to fighting money laundering in the banking sector by integrating statistical analysis and machine learning techniques. By combining client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging, along with considerations for interpretability and fairness, the proposed methodology offers a systematic framework for identifying and mitigating money laundering risks. Through ongoing monitoring and evaluation, the methodology seeks to continuously improve and adapt to emerging threats, ultimately contributing to the overall security and integrity of the financial system.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of our study shed light on the efficacy of employing statistics and machine learning techniques in combating the pervasive issue of money laundering within the banking sector. Through our analysis, we found that client risk profiling and suspicious behavior flagging are pivotal components in bolstering anti-money laundering (AML) efforts. Client risk profiling, characterized by diagnostic measures aimed at identifying and elucidating risk factors associated with individual customers, enables banks to assess the likelihood of illicit financial activities. By leveraging statistical methods to analyze historical transactional data, we developed predictive models that effectively classify customers into different risk categories based on their transactional behavior. Our findings demonstrate the utility of descriptive statistics and predictive modeling in discerning patterns indicative of potential money laundering activities, thereby enabling banks to proactively identify and mitigate risks.

In tandem with client risk profiling, our study underscores the significance of suspicious behavior flagging as a complementary approach to AML. Suspicious behavior flagging entails the detection of anomalous patterns or transactions that deviate from expected norms, serving as a crucial mechanism for early detection and prevention of money laundering activities. Through the application of machine learning algorithms, such as anomaly detection and clustering techniques, we identified aberrant transactions and transactional patterns that warrant further scrutiny. Our results highlight the effectiveness of machine learning in discerning subtle deviations in transactional behavior, thereby enhancing banks' ability to identify suspicious activities and mitigate potential risks associated with money laundering.

ISSN 2454 - 5015



Fig 2. Results screenshot 1

-	× +				~ _	
← → C ① 127.0.0.1	:8000/train_model/			Ê	☆ 🛛	2 :
		and and an internal states of		Land a second second		
Fig	nting Money	Laundering with S	acistics and Mac			
Train & Test Bank Data Sets	View Trained and Tested Accu	racy in Bar Chart View Trained and Tested Ac	curacy Results View Prediction Of Mone	av Laundering Type		
View Money Laundering Pre	diction Type Ratio Download P	Predicted Data Sets View Money Laundering F	Prediction Type Ratio Results View All Re	emote Users Logout		
20						
	A DESCRIPTION OF TAXABLE PARTY.					
					_	
		View Trained and Tes	ited Results		1	
		View Trained and Tes	ited Results			
-		View Trained and Tes Model Type	Accuracy			
		View Trained and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes	Accuracy 77.5257731958763			
		View Trained and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227			
		View Trained and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM Logistic Regression	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227 77.93814432989691			
		View Trained and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM Logistic Regression Decision Tree Classifier	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227 77.93814432989691 72.98969072164948			
		View Trained and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM Logistic Regression Decision Tree Classifier Random Forest Classifier	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227 77.93814432989691 72.98969072164948 77.5257731958763			
		View Troined and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM Logistic Regression Decision Tree Classifier Random Forest Classifier Convolutional Neural Networks-C	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227 77.93814432989691 72.98969072164948 77.5257731958763 86.24742268041237			
		View Troined and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM Logistic Regression Decision Tree Classifier Random Forest Classifier Convolutional Neural Networks-C	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227 77.93814432989691 72.98969072164948 77.5257731958763 NN 68.24742268041237	Activate Windows		
F.		View Troined and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM Logistic Regression Decision Tree Classifier Random Forest Classifier Convolutional Neural Networks-C	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227 77.93814432989691 72.98969072164948 77.5257731958763 NN 68.24742268041237	Activate Windows Go to Settings to activa	te Windov	25,
5		View Troined and Tes Model Type Naive Bayes SVM Logistic Regression Decision Tree Classifier Random Forest Classifier Convolutional Neural Networks-C	Accuracy 77.5257731958763 74.84536082474227 77.93814432989691 72.98969072164948 77.5257731958763 NM 68.24742268041237	Activate Windows Go to Settings to activa	te Window	

Fig 3. Results screenshot 2

ISSN 2454 - 5015



Fig 4. Results screenshot 3



Fig 4. Results screenshot 3



Fig 5. Results screenshot 4



Fig 6. Results screenshot 5



Fig 7. Results screenshot 6



Fig 8. Results screenshot 7



Fig 9. Results screenshot 8



Fig 10. Results screenshot 9

ISSN 2454 - 5015



Fig 11. Results screenshot 10



Fig 12. Results screenshot 11

Service Provider X Service User X +		~	00	9 23
← → C ① 127.0.0.1:3000/ViewYourProfile/	0. B	☆		1 :
Fighting Manage I and asian Millsh Physical and Manhalman I and		-		î î
Fighting money Laundering with statistics and Machine Lear	ming			
PREDICT MONEY LAUNDERING PREDICTION TYPE VIEW YOUR PROFILE LOGOUT				
YOUR PROFILE DETAILS III				
USER NAME = Manjunath				
EMAIL = tmksmanju14@gmaiLcom				•
PASSWORD = Manjunath				
MOBILE NO = 9535866270				
COUNTRY = India				
STATE = Karnataka				
CTTY = Bangalore				
Activate	e Window	s		
Go to Sett	tings to activ	ate Wi	indows	
		-	6:0	2 PM
	- 13		3 14-5	Sep-23

Fig 13. Results screenshot 12

 127.00.128000/Predict_Money_Laundenn 	g_lype/		£	
PREDICTION OF MONEY LAUNDERIN	g type III			-
	Enter Bank Datase	et Details Here !!!		
Enter Fid		Enter AccOpenDate		
Enter CustomerId		Enter Sumame		/
Enter CreditScore		Enter Geography		
Enter Gender	Select 🗸	Enter Age		
Enter Tenure		Enter Balance		
Enter NumOfProducts		Enter HesCrCard		2
Enter IsActiveMember		Enter EstimatedSelary		
		Predict		
			Activate Windows Go to Settings to activat	e Windows

Fig 14. Results screenshot 13

0	1 - 6	<) +						Datasets -	Microsoft Exce	s							_ 0	
	Home 1	insert Page	e Layout Formulas E	Data Review	View	í.											<u> </u>	-
Ĉ	Cut	Calibri	* 11 * A A	= = =	≫,	Wrap T	ext	General	•				*		Σ AutoSur	" 🖅 🕅		
Past	e Format Pa	inter B I	🗓 - 🖽 - 🙆 - 🗛 -		洋洋	Merge -	& Center *	\$ - %	• 00. 0.↓ 0.↓ 00. •	Condition	al Format	Cell	Insert Delet	e Format	Q Clear *	Sort & Find &		
	Clipboard	6	Font G		Alignm	ent	G	Num	ber G	ronnotting	Styles	JUJICI	Cells	5	-	Editing		
	A1	• (*	∫x Fid															
4	A		В	С	D	E	F	G	н	L	J	к	L	M	N	O P	Q	
73 1	80.76.148.65-1	0.42.0.211	28-01-17	15620344 Mc	cKee	813	B France	Male	29	6	0	1	0	0	33953.87	0		
74 1	0.42.0.151-119	.241.11.24	29-01-17	15812518 Pa	lermo	657	7 Spain	Female	37	0	163607.2	1	0	1	44203.55	0		
75 1	0.42.0.151-10.	42.0.1-544	30-01-17	15779052 Ba	llard	604	4 Germany	Female	25	5	157780.8	2	0	1	58426.81	0		
76 1	0.42.0.151-104	.254.66.16	31-01-17	15770811 Wa	allace	519	France	Male	36	9	0	2	0	1	145562.4	1		
77 1	0.42.0.211-74.	6.105.13-5	01-02-17	15780961 Ca	venagh	735	5 France	Female	21	1	178718.2	2	0	0	22388	0		
78 1	0.42.0.211-58.	220.11.230	02-02-17	15614049 Hu	1	664	France	Male	55	8	0	2	0	1	139161.6	1		
79 1	0.42.0.211-66.	102.255.61	03-02-17	15662085 Re	ad	678	8 France	Female	32	9	0	1	0	1	148210.6	0		
80 1	0.42.0.211-10.	42.0.1-569	04-02-17	15575185 Bu	shell	751	7 Spain	Male	33	5	77253.22	1	0	1	194239.6	1		
81 1	0.42.0.211-69.	147.82.61-	05-02-17	15803136 Po	stle	416	5 Germany	Female	41	10	122189.7	2	0	0	98301.61	0		
82 2	22.73.28.96-10	.42.0.211-	06-02-17	15706021 Bu	ley	665	5 France	Female	34	1	96645.54	2	0	0	171413.7	1		
83 1	0.42.0.211-52.	22.102.34-	07-02-17	15663706 Lee	onard	77	7 France	Female	32	2	0	1	1	0	136458.2	1		
34 1	0.42.0.151-10.	42.0.1-254	08-02-17	15641732 Mi	ills	543	3 France	Female	36	3	0	2	0	0	26019.59	0		
35 1	0.42.0.211-10.	42.0.1-164	09-02-17	15701164 On	yeorul	506	5 France	Female	34	4	90307.62	1	0	1	159235.3	1		
36 1	0.42.0.211-10.	42.0.1-154	10-02-17	15738751 Be	it	493	B France	Female	46	4	0	2	0	0	1907.66	0		
37 1	0.42.0.211-106	.11.92.1-4	11-02-17	15805254 Nd	dukaku	653	2 Spain	Female	75	10	0	2	0	1	114675.8	0		
38 1	83.57.48.84-10	.42.0.211-	12-02-17	15762418 Ga	int	750	Spain	Male	22	3	121681.8	1	1	0	128643.4	1		
39 1	72.217.12.170-	10.42.0.42	13-02-17	15625759 Ro	wley	c329	9 France	Male	30	9	0	2	0	0	151869.4	1		
90 1	0.42.0.1-10.42	.0.42-53-2	14-02-17	15622897 Sh	arpe	646	5 France	Female	46	4	0	3	1	0	93251.42	0		
91 2	16.58.219.206-	10.42.0.15	15-02-17	15767954 Os	borne	635	5 Germany	Female	28	3	81623.67	2	0	1	156791.4	1		
92 1	0.42.0.211-47.	88.66.107-	16-02-17	15757535 He	ap	647	7 Spain	Female	44	5	0	3	1	1	174205.2	0		
93 1	0.42.0.211-77.	234.42.92-	17-02-17	15731511 Rit	tchie	808	8 France	Male	45	7	118626.6	2	0	0	147132.5	1		
94 1	80.149.134.22	1-10.42.0.2	18-02-17	15809248 Co	le	524	4 France	Female	36	10	0	2	0	0	109614.6	0		
95 1	0.42.0.211-64.	71.142.95-	19-02-17	15640635 Ca	pon	765	France	Male	29	8	0	2	0	1	172290.6	1		
96 1	0.42.0.211-54.	243.147.12	20-02-17	15676966 Ca	pon	730) Spain	Male	42	4	0	2	0	1	85982.47	te Winelows		
97 2	07.253.122.41	10.42.0.42	21-02-17	15699461 Fic	orentin	515	5 Spain	Male	35	10	176274	1	0	1	121277.8	ttings to activate		
4.4	Dataset	s/Q/											6		00 10 00	things to bettrate		1
Read	/															III II 100% 🕞		
-)		o 🖪 🔼		X											- 18 🔁	6:02 Pt	1

Fig 15. Results screenshot 14

	Enter Bank Datase	t Details Here !!!		
Enter Fld	10.42.0.211-111.206.25.159-	Enter AccOpenDate	19-04-17	
Enter CustomerId	15811589	Enter Sumame	Metcalfe	/
Enter CreditScore	716	Enter Geography	Spain	
Enter Gender	Male	Enter Age	42	
Enter Tenure	8	Enter Balance	0	
Enter NumOfProducts	2	Enter HasCrCard	0	
Enter IsActiveMember	0	Enter EstimatedSelary	180800.42	
		Predict		

Fig 16. Results screenshot 15

Service Provider	× 🔇 Remote User	× +			~		23
← → C (127.0.0.1:8000/Predict_Money_Laundering_T	lype/		Ē	4		:
	Enter CustomerId	L]	Enter Sumame			1	-
	Enter CreditScore		Enter Geography				
	Enter Gender	Select 🗸	Enter Age			\mathbf{i}	
	Enter Tenure		Enter Balance				\
	Enter NumOfProducts		Enter HasCrCard				
	Enter IsActiveMember		Enter EstimatedSalary				
			Predict				
						_	
						P ²⁰⁰	
					-	1	
	PREDICTED	MONEY LAUNDERI	NG TYPE - Round Client Risk Profiling			•	
				Activate Window			
1.48	A Martine	100 M	J rettables and	Go to Settings to activ	va vate Wi	ndows.	
()	👸 💽 🖻 🖪 🖪			- 14		6:03 P 14-Sep	M -23

Fig 17. Results screenshot 16



Fig 18. Results screenshot 17

Furthermore, our discussion delves into the implications of our findings and identifies key areas for future research and development in the realm of AML. One notable challenge identified is the scarcity of public datasets available for

training and evaluating AML models. To address this limitation, we propose the exploration of synthetic data generation techniques as a means of augmenting existing datasets and facilitating more robust model development and evaluation. Additionally, we advocate for further research into semi-supervised and deep learning approaches, which have the potential to enhance the accuracy and scalability of AML algorithms. Moreover, we emphasize the importance of interpretability and fairness in AML models, advocating for the integration of techniques that enhance transparency and mitigate biases. By addressing these research directions, we aim to advance the field of AML and contribute to the development of more effective and equitable solutions for combating money laundering in the banking sector.

CONCLUSION

Inspired by FATF's recommendations, we propose a terminology for AML in banks structured around two central tasks: (i) client risk profiling and (ii) suspicious behavior flagging. The former assigns general risk scores to clients (e.g., for use in KYC operations) while the latter raises alarms on clients, accounts, or transactions (e.g., for use in transaction monitoring). Our review reveals that the literature on client risk profiling is characterized by diagnostics, i.e., efforts to find and explain risk factors. The literature on suspicious behavior flagging, on the other hand, is characterized by non-disclosed features and hand-crafted risk indices. In general, we find that the literature on AML in banks is plagued by a number of problems. Two challenges are class imbalance and a lack of public data sets. To address class imbalance, a multitude of different data augmentation methods may be used. Motivated by the sensitivity of bank data, synthetic data generation may be a viable way to address the lack of public data sets. Synthetic public data sets would, in particular, facilitate better evaluation and reproducibility of, as well as comparisons between, new and existing methods. Other directions for future research include methods for dimension reduction, semi-supervised learning, data visualization, deep learning, and interpretable and fair machine learning. Finally, we strongly advise against the use of accuracy as an evaluation metric for AML applications, instead emphasizing ROC or PR curves.

REFERENCES

1. Böhme, R. (2016). Privacy-enhanced risk scoring using client risk profiling for anti-money laundering. In International Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security (pp. 183-195). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

2. Carletti, M., Mastroeni, L., & Palmucci, F. (2019). A machine learning approach for anti-money laundering: modeling criteria and indicators. Expert Systems with Applications, 124, 83-95.

3. Chen, L., & Rao, P. (2020). A review on machine learning techniques for anti-money laundering. In Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Computing and Big Data, 58-62.

4. Ehsani, M., & Shayanfar, H. A. (2016). Anti-money laundering using machine learning techniques: a survey. Journal of Money Laundering Control, 19(3), 224-257.

5. Fatemi, M., & Gerami, M. (2019). Detection of suspicious activities of money laundering in financial markets using machine learning algorithms. Telematics and Informatics, 39, 34-45.

6. Garcia-Alonso, C. R., & Medrano, I. (2021). Machine learning in the fight against money laundering: A systematic literature review. Decision Support Systems, 140, 113429.

7. Jans, M., Lybaert, N., & Jans, S. (2016). A client risk profiling model in private banking for anti-money laundering purposes: The value of behavioral data. Expert Systems with Applications, 58, 229-245.

8. Kim, K. J., & Kang, B. H. (2018). Predictive analytics-based anti-money laundering: evidence from big dataembedded commercial banks. Telematics and Informatics, 35(4), 980-990.

9. Kshetri, N., & Voas, J. (2016). Anti-money laundering in virtual currencies: Experiments with Bitcoin-based money laundering. IT Professional, 18(2), 36-42.

10. Lee, H. S., Yoon, S. H., & Hong, W. H. (2017). Fintech for Anti-Money Laundering: A systematic review of current trends and challenges. Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 27, 28-45.

11. Rudeanu, S., & Alexandrescu, M. (2018). Artificial intelligence in the fight against money laundering. Procedia Computer Science, 126, 1379-1388.

12. Saha, D., & Kumar, V. (2019). Machine learning for anti-money laundering. In International Conference on Advanced Machine Learning Technologies and Applications (pp. 487-495). Springer, Cham.

13. Sengupta, S., Banerjee, S., & Biswas, A. (2020). Comparative analysis of machine learning algorithms in antimoney laundering. In 2020 International Conference on Innovative Trends in Computer Engineering (ICITCE) (pp. 1-6). IEEE.

14. Shojaei, A., & Akbari, A. (2016). Money laundering detection using intelligent algorithms. In 2016 IEEE 8th International Conference on Intelligent Systems (IS) (pp. 366-372). IEEE.

15. Zeleznikow, J., & Mackenzie, G. (2017). Machine Learning and Money Laundering. In L. Malvestio, S. Giovagnoli, & M. Comande (Eds.), Data Science for Banking and Finance (pp. 169-196). Springer, Cham.